



Evaluation INVENTORY WORKSHOP 26 – 27 March 2015, Ankara, Turkey

Statistical Information

- 1.1 Workshop
 1.2 Name and Surname of Participant (evaluator)

Inventory Workshop 2
OVERALL SCORE

Your expectations

Please indicate to what extent specific expectations were met, or not met:

Score	My Expectations	My expectations were met		
		Fully (10 points)	Partially (5 points)	Not at all (0 points)
(140) 7.4	1. Understanding of the results of the round of Questionnaires and how this information will be further used.	 (90)	 (50)	
(155) 8.2	2. The Workshop has helped to clarify a number of aspects related to the use of fuels in LCPs.	 (120)	 (35)	
(135) 7.1	3. The Workshop was an appropriate start to trigger or improve the process of emission monitoring in LCPs.	 (100)	 (35)	 (0)
(145) 7.6	4. The Workshop has contributed to improving awareness and/or understanding concerning reduction of air pollution effects that derive from LCPs.	 (110)	 (35)	 (0)
(150) 7.9	5. The Workshop has well clarified the technologies that can be applied in LCPs to control NO _x , SO ₂ and Particulate Matter.	 (110)	 (40)	
7.6	AVERAGE TOTAL SCORE			



Seminar and Presentations

Please rate the following statements in respect of this workshop:

Score	Aspect of Workshop	Excellent (10)	Good (8)	Average (6)	Acceptable (4)	Poor (2)	Unacceptable (0)
(142) 7.5	1. The workshop achieved the objectives set	II (20)	IIII IIII II (96)	III (18)	II (8)		
(142) 7.5	2. The quality of the workshop was of a high standard	II (20)	IIII IIII (80)	IIII (30)	III (12)		
(144) 7.6	3. The content of the workshop was well-suited to my level of understanding and experience	IIII (40)	IIII III (64)	IIII I (36)	I (4)		
(144) 7.6	4. The workshop was interactive and networking could be achieved	IIII (40)	IIII IIII (72)	IIII (24)	II (8)		
(152) 8.0	5. Speakers and facilitators were well-prepared and knowledgeable on the subject matter	IIII (50)	IIII IIII I (88)	I (6)	II (8)		
(156) 8.2	6. The duration of this workshop was neither too long nor too short	IIII III (80)	IIII III (64)	I (6)	I (4)	I (2)	
(124) 6.5	7. The logistical arrangements (accommodation, meals, refreshments, equipment) were satisfactory	IIII (50)	IIII (32)	IIII (24)	III (12)	III (6)	
(146) 7.7	8. Attending this workshop was time well spent	IIII II (70)	IIII (40)	IIII (24)	III (12)		
7.6	AVERAGE TOTAL SCORE						



Comments and suggestions

I have the following comment and/or suggestions in addition to questions already answered:

Workshop Sessions:

-Successful but can be improved.

-It was fruitful and useful. In my opinion the most useful parts were detailed explanation of technics and alternatives as well as group work. I think that it would be very beneficial if uninvited operators, provincial directorates, universities and CSOs are also involved in such activities; for instance in RIA Workshop.

-It would be very useful to share some visual and written statements from works and studies about LCPs that were carried out in Europe. For instance, a study concerning a German thermal plant could have been shared with the audience.

-The sessions were well divided. On the other hand, they lasted too long. Therefore, some lost their attention during the Workshop.



Facilitators:

- Successful but can be improved.
- They were good, thank you.
- The team was well prepared and they organized the workshop well. However, the organization of the hotel was insufficient.

Workshop level and content:

- Successful and satisfactory, but can be improved.
- I wrote about this under the first heading.
- I believe that the Workshop was beneficial.
- I believe that it would be more beneficial if the participation of the same participants can be ensured all the time and if practice, visual aspects and site visits can be considered for the future.
- I think that the level and content of the workshop are sufficient for gaining information about LCPs.