



Evaluation INVENTORY WORKSHOP 3, 11 – 12 June 2015, Antalya, Turkey

Statistical Information

- 1.1 Workshop
 1.2 Name and Surname of Participant (evaluator)

Inventory Workshop 3
OVERALL SCORE

Your expectations

Please indicate to what extent specific expectations were met, or not met:

Score	My Expectations	My expectations were met		
		Fully (10 points)	Partially (5 points)	Not at all (0 points)
(115) 6.4	1. Understanding of the current institutional structures in Turkey regarding development and implementation of legal requirements related to large combustion plants.	 (50)	 (65)	
(130) 7.2	2. The Workshop has helped to better understand the institutional responsibilities and structures required for the transposition and implementation of the EU acquis regarding LCPs.	 (80)	 (50)	
(130) 7.2	3. The Workshop has helped to better understand the potential challenges to be faced by Turkish institutional structures in control of LCPs operations.	 (80)	 (50)	
(145) 8.1	4. The Workshop has contributed to designing the outlines of the project's training programme.	 (110)	 (35)	
7.2	AVERAGE TOTAL SCORE			



Seminar and Presentations

Please rate the following statements in respect of this workshop:

Score	Aspect of Workshop	Excellent (10)	Good (8)	Average (6)	Acceptable (4)	Poor (2)	Unacceptable (0)
(140) 7.8	1. The workshop achieved the objectives set	III (30)	IIII IIII I (88)	III (18)	I (4)		
(142) 7.9	2. The quality of the workshop was of a high standard	IIII (50)	IIII III (72)	II (12)	II (8)		
(142) 7.9	3. The content of the workshop was well-suited to my level of understanding and experience	III (30)	IIII IIII II (96)	II (12)	I (4)		
(160) 8.9	4. The workshop was interactive and networking could be achieved	IIII III (90)	IIII III (64)	I (6)			
(150) 8.3	5. Speakers and facilitators were well-prepared and knowledgeable on the subject matter	IIII III (80)	IIII II (56)	II (12)		I (2)	
(132) 7.3	6. The duration of this workshop was neither too long nor too short	IIII II (70)	IIII (40)	II (12)	I (4)	III (6)	
(110) 6.1	7. The logistical arrangements (accommodation, meals, refreshments, equipment) were satisfactory	II (20)	IIII II (56)	IIII (24)	I (4)	III (6)	I (0)
(144) 8.0	8. Attending this workshop was time well spent	IIII (50)	IIII III (72)	III (18)	I (4)		
7.8	AVERAGE TOTAL SCORE						



Comments and suggestions

I have the following comment and/or suggestions in addition to questions already answered:

Workshop Sessions:

- Successful but can be improved.
- It was fruitful and useful. In my opinion the most useful parts were detailed explanation of technics and alternatives as well as group work. I think that it would be very beneficial if uninvited operators, provincial directorates, universities and CSOs are also involved in such activities; for instance in RIA Workshop.
- It would be very useful to share some visual and written statements from works and studies about LCPs that were carried out in Europe. For instance, a study concerning a German thermal plant could have been shared with the audience.
- The sessions were well divided. On the other hand, they lasted too long. Therefore, some lost their attention during the Workshop.

Facilitators:

- Successful but can be improved.
- They were good, thank you.
- The team was well prepared and they organized the workshop well. However, the organization of the hotel was insufficient.

Workshop level and content:

- Successful and satisfactory, but can be improved.
 - I wrote about this under the first heading.
 - I believe that the Workshop was beneficial.
 - I believe that it would be more beneficial if the participation of the same participants can be ensured all the time and if practice, visual aspects and site visits can be considered for the future.
 - I think that the level and content of the workshop are sufficient for gaining information about LCPs.
-