



Evaluation STUDY TOUR 1, 22 – 26 June 2015, Italy

Statistical Information

- 1.1 Workshop
1.2 Name and Surname of Participant (evaluator)

Study Tour 1 - Italy
OVERALL SCORE

Your expectations

Please indicate to what extent specific expectations were met, or not met:

Score	My Expectations	My expectations were met		
		Fully (10 points)	Partially (5 points)	Not at all (0 points)
(80) 8.8	1. Understanding of the institutional structures in Italy regarding development and implementation of legal requirements related to large combustion plants.	IIII II (70)	II (10)	
(75) 8.3	2. The Study tour has helped to better understand the institutional responsibilities and structures required for the transposition and implementation of the EU acquis regarding LCPs.	IIII I (60)	III (15)	
(75) 8.3	3. The Study tour has helped to better understand the potential challenges to be faced by Turkish institutional structures in control of LCPs operations.	IIII I (60)	III (15)	
(65) 7.2	4. The Study tour has strengthened the technical understanding on how large combustions plants operate in the EU.	III (40)	IIII (25)	
8.1	AVERAGE TOTAL SCORE			



Seminar and Presentations

Please rate the following statements in respect of this study tour:

Score	Aspect of Workshop	Excellent (10)	Good (8)	Average (6)	Acceptable (4)	Poor (2)	Unacceptable (0)
(60) 6.6	1. The study tour achieved the objectives set		IIII (40)	II (12)	II (8)		
(56) 6.2	2. The quality of the study tour was of a high standard		IIII (40)	I (6)	II (8)	I (2)	
(66) 7.3	3. The content of the study tour was well-suited to my level of understanding and experience	I (10)	IIII (40)	II (12)	I (4)		
(56) 6.2	4. The study tour was interactive and networking could be achieved	II (10)	III (24)	III (18)	I (4)		
(66) 7.3	5. The facilitators were well-prepared and knowledgeable on the subject matter	I (10)	IIII (40)	II (12)	I (4)		
(66) 7.3	6. The duration of the different components of the study tour were neither too long nor too short	I (10)	IIII (40)	II (12)	I (4)		
(48) 5.3	7. The logistical arrangements (accommodation, meals, refreshments, equipment) were satisfactory		III (32)	I (6)	I (4)	III (6)	
(64) 7.1	8. Attending this study tour was time well spent	I (10)	IIII (40)	I (6)	II (8)		
6.6	AVERAGE TOTAL SCORE						



Study tour Sessions:

- Duration of Question-Answer periods could be longer.
- It is appropriate for studies, permits, evaluations, and those who prepare report, make decision on the issues to be present during trainings; in addition to that, it may be more beneficial for experts who conduct, examine these studies, take duty in decision making mechanism on the side of Turkey, to take part in direct applications for longer time for information and experience transfer.
- Presentations related to issues were pretty successful.
- Study tour sessions have been satisfactorily successful, especially those to LCP's in Italy, and subjects on how and based on which directives they have been managed. Presentations were pretty explanatory and informative. Also, the matters on how existing institutions work and how they make their inspections were adequately understandable.
- Meetings sessions with competent authorities, Ministry and Regional administrations could be longer.
- We have learned from presentations what institutions are involved in IPPC applications (and how these institutions have been formed). However, it was observed that a procedure and time list do not exist as in Turkey, and this varies in accordance with the characteristics of the installation. It was understood that local, National authorities and committees forward the matter to Ministry in this order. However, there exist other issues such as; at what stage the unaccepted matters go to an upper institution, and if the application should be initiated from the beginning again or not. How does a plant owner follow these procedures? Maybe, permit application of an X company could be explained as an example. In Turkey, time and procedure standards can be seen in one chart. And this provides convenience for plant owner very much. Of course, Italy has developed the best system under all those experiences. However through this example, we could better understand these transitions and the one that performs a more effective control. Note: I wrote these comments within a limited time. I apologize for grammatically incorrect and imperfect sentences. I would like to thank all of those who provided this experience to us and to all participants.
- During sessions, more time could be reserved for question-answer periods. Allowing short period of time for questions or pressure on asking the questions at the end of presentations were not nice at all.
- Trip to refinery was not efficient at all. Authorized staff of plant should be informed about the content and expectations. The presentation was away from our focus point and was in detail. As asking questions have insistently been prevented (by project expert) (by showing time limitation as an excuse), we did not have a chance to guide (direct) the subject and the time was used inefficiently.
- Everything was OK about sessions. Hotel accommodations were strict for us. It was too far from central. We couldn't manage time arrangement, in relax time.



Facilitators:

- Facilitators were satisfactory in both accommodation and transfer issues. However, I believe that it is beneficial to review the matters such as quality and vicinity to city center in the selection of accommodation places, once again.
- Our hotel in Milan had surely been selected because of its close location to Amec Foster Wheeler. However, service was very poor in all areas.
- Since hotels in Milan and Rome were pretty away from city center, we have spent most of our time on transportation. Hotels at central locations could be preferred.
- The hotel in Milan was out of town and had hygiene problem. The third morning, I woke up with bites of insects. I learned from the reception that it might be an insect coming from wood furniture in the room (I think it is chinch/bedbug). The receptionist changed my room with a reserved room for someone else who is going to start staying at the hotel, and this was a careless approach to continue to use the room with insect. The hotel in Rome was clean and beautiful, but away from city center. „As the most important”, the other factors in the organization (food, payments etc.) should be shared with participants a week or weeks before the tour and necessary adjustments should be made in common sense. Otherwise, during site visit, it is dealt with making the decisions, changing, discussing, adjusting and uncertainty. This steals from time and efficiency.
- Facilitators have helped to better understand the EU practices. But, I have expected to see thermal power plant facilities especially based on coal.



Study tour level and content:

- Sufficient
- I think the level and content of the study tour were satisfactory. However, I believe that it is especially necessary that the study group should be supported with private sector.
- As the tour was very fine, a site trip to a thermal power plant could also be arranged.
- The presentations and sincerity during our site visits were very successful. Of course, we had our experiences all on Thermal power plant area. A visit to a Thermal power plant could be useful, as well. It was understood that there wasn't a Thermal power plant in close proximity geographically. I hope, this group may have a chance to visit such a plant next time. May a regional competent authority officer, a plant owner accompany our group? (During the visit)
- I would like to talk especially about: When presentations and site visits are over, it could be much more efficient to make a meeting (maybe for 1-1,5 hours) that our group members may share their opinions and suggestions, the experience they gained on the day's activities. At the same time, we could be prepared prior to tomorrow's (next day's) presentation based on our branches.
- More plant visits could be arranged.
- Natural Gas Combined Cycle Plants are in the same condition in our country. We have visited the sister plant of this one in Ankara, and the one with a very similar technology in İzmit. If we could visit a solid fuel combustion plant using coal instead of natural gas, it could be more beneficial. On the other hand, visit to refinery was not efficient. Meetings with official institutions and the one at Amec FW were very good, but the plant visits were not satisfying.
- The study tour has helped to better understanding on how large combustion plants operate in EU and especially in Italy with technical guys and samples.