



Evaluation TRAINING PROGRAMME, PART 2 - OFFICIALS, 8 – 10 March 2016, Kızılcahamam, Turkey

Statistical Information

- 1.1 Workshop
 1.2 Name and Surname of Participant (evaluator)

Training for Officials – Part 2
OVERALL SCORE

Your expectations

Please indicate to what extent specific expectations were met, or not met:

Score	My Expectations	My expectations were met		
		Fully (10 points)	Partially (5 points)	Not at all (0 points)
(220) 8.8	1. The training has helped develop my understanding of the processes involved, and my role, in LCP permitting	 (190)	I (30)	
(220) 8.8	2. The training has given me added confidence in my abilities to set appropriate permit conditions regarding LCP emission limit values, monitoring and reporting.	 (190)	I (30)	
(210) 8.4	3. The training has helped develop my ability to assess whether an LCP's emissions comply with permit conditions (ELVs).	 (170)	III (40)	
(220) 8.8	4. The training has helped develop my understanding of my enforcement role regarding LCPs.	 (190)	I (30)	
8.7	AVERAGE TOTAL SCORE			



Training

Please rate the following statements in respect of this training:

Score	Aspect of Workshop	Excellent (10)	Good (8)	Average (6)	Acceptable (4)	Poor (2)	Unacceptable (0)
(206) 8.2	1. The workshop achieved the objectives set	 (40)	 (160)	I (6)			
(216) 8.6	2. The quality of the workshop was of a high standard	 (90)	 (120)	I (6)			
(196) 7.8	3. The content of the workshop was well-suited to my level of understanding and experience	II (70)	II (96)	 (30)			
(200) 8.0	4. The workshop was interactive and networking could be achieved	III (80)	I (88)	 (24)	II (8)		
(226) 9.0	5. Speakers and facilitators were well-prepared and knowledgeable on the subject matter	 (140)	 (80)	I (6)			
(198) 7.9	6. The duration of this workshop was neither too long nor too short	II (70)	 (112)	II (12)	I (4)		
(214) 8.6	7. The logistical arrangements (accommodation, meals, refreshments, equipment) were satisfactory	 (100)	II (96)	I (6)	III (18)		
(222) 8.9	8. Attending this workshop was time well spent	II (120)	II (96)	I (6)			
8.4	AVERAGE TOTAL SCORE						



Comments and suggestions

I have the following comment and/or suggestions in addition to questions already answered:

Training Sessions:

- Sufficient and efficient
- Training subjects and the presentations were beneficial and the content was good however the presentations could have included some general information about the process and the project since some of the participants didn't attend the part 1
- Good
- I think that the sessions were very interactive and the experts paid attention not to exceed the duration of the sessions
- Particularly the exercises were very suitable and instructive
- Training sessions were planned well as regards the content and timing. The group workings increased the efficiency of the training
- Sufficient and balanced
- The experts and their presentations were of high quality and goal-oriented
- The content of the sessions was well determined and the timing was suitable
- I found the sessions very successful. In particular, the group workings were very beneficial



Facilitators:

- They were professional and the answers they provided were satisfactory
 - Miss Nazlı was very successful
 - I would like thank everyone for their efforts
 - Very good
 - I would like to thank the trainers and facilitators
 - It was very good as all the other organizations
 - The organization was perfect. I would like to thank Miss Nazlı for her attention and efforts
 - It was good
 - Thank you all
 - The facilitators were very helpful
 - I would like to thank Miss Nazlı for her attention and efforts
 - Thank you all, it was very good
 - The facilitators are very professional. They were very helpful for the purpose of the training. I would like to thank everyone individually
-





Training level and content:

- The subjects were clear and instructive. Generally, I was very satisfied
- The training was very efficient
- Very good, however I suppose the time was a bit short
- I think the presentations of the trainers were instructive and contributing
- It was very good
- It was sufficient except some missing points in the training, for example it was not mentioned how the calculations are made in dual fuel firing LCPs in the determination of ELVs. Although it is identified in the Byelaw, in practice there could be some problems
- The level and content of the training was sufficient
- It was of quality
- The content of the presentations was sufficient enough and of quality
- The content and level was good
- The content and level of the training was clear and beneficial although it was not very detailed