



Evaluation TRAINING PROGRAMME, PART 2 - OPERATORS, 14 – 16 March 2016, Istanbul, Turkey

Statistical Information

- 1.1 Workshop
 1.2 Name and Surname of Participant (evaluator)

Training for Operators – Part 2
OVERALL SCORE

Your expectations

Please indicate to what extent specific expectations were met, or not met:

Score	My Expectations	My expectations were met		
		Fully (10 points)	Partially (5 points)	Not at all (0 points)
(190) 7.9	1. The training has helped develop my understanding of the processes involved, and my role, in LCP permitting	 (140)	 (50)	
(190) 7.9	2. The training has given me added confidence in my abilities to understand the basis for permit conditions set regarding LCP emission limit values, monitoring and reporting.	 (140)	 (50)	
(180) 7.5	3. The training has helped develop my ability to understand ELV compliance assessments.	 (120)	 (60)	
(190) 7.9	4. The training has helped develop my understanding of the enforcement of permit conditions set for LCPs.	 (140)	 (50)	
7.8	AVERAGE TOTAL SCORE			



Training

Please rate the following statements in respect of this training:

Score	Aspect of Workshop	Excellent (10)	Good (8)	Average (6)	Acceptable (4)	Poor (2)	Unacceptable (0)
(192) 8.0	1. The workshop achieved the objectives set	IIII III (80)	IIII IIII II (96)	I (6)	II (8)	I (2)	
(196) 8.2	2. The quality of the workshop was of a high standard	IIII II (70)	IIII IIII IIII (112)	II (12)		I (2)	
(192) 8.0	3. The content of the workshop was well-suited to my level of understanding and experience	IIII IIII (100)	IIII IIII (72)	II (12)	I (4)	II (4)	
(196) 8.2	4. The workshop was interactive and networking could be achieved	IIII IIII I (110)	IIII IIII (72)	I (6)	III (8)		
(216) 9.0	5. Speakers and facilitators were well-prepared and knowledgeable on the subject matter	IIII IIII IIII (140)	IIII IIII (64)	II (12)			
(192) 8.0	6. The duration of this workshop was neither too long nor too short	IIII IIII (100)	IIII IIII (64)	III (24)	II (4)		
(128) 5.3	7. The logistical arrangements (accommodation, meals, refreshments, equipment) were satisfactory	III (30)	IIII (40)	III (24)	III (18)	IIII III (16)	
(186) 7.8	8. Attending this workshop was time well spent	IIII IIII (90)	IIII IIII (72)	III (18)		III (6)	
7.8	AVERAGE TOTAL SCORE						



Comments and suggestions

I have the following comment and/or suggestions in addition to questions already answered:

Training Sessions:

- The information about the operators other than thermal plants were limited.
- The training sessions were efficient; however there were some differences between the presentations and the handout that were given to us. Absence of Peter is felt.
- Sufficient.
- Good.
- Among large combustion plants, there are only thermal plants. Best existing applications in the other production processes could be mentioned.
- The sessions should be a little shorter.
- Normal.
- The duration of training sessions can be considered long, however the approach of the teaching team and the practical oriented applications, help to eliminate the lack of attention of the participants.
- In my opinion, if the presentation durations would not exceed 40-45 minutes, it would be more productive. I don't have any other suggestion, other than this.
- The presentations in the sessions were a bit monotonous. They could be more fluent.
- The interactive participation in the sessions should be more.
- The sessions were beneficial.



Facilitators:

- Quite successful.
- It was pretty good, debonair and well-organized.
- The organization team is very successful. The next training should be in İzmir.
- Perfect.
- I want the next organization to be in İzmir.
- The hotel should be in a better neighborhood.
- The standards of the hotel was bad, no comments for the food. Organizing this kind of training with one kind of food was extremely bad. Moreover, it was a total failure to be served only water in the meals. Note: It would be more accurate to have the next meeting in İzmir.
- Good. (The attention of the organization team was in a high level.) The next training should be in İzmir province.
- The hotel which is accommodated was in a bad place as location. More central place could be picked. For the next LCP Workshop, İzmir Province could be picked. (Comparing to İstanbul and Ankara, I found it safer.)
- If the next training could be in İzmir, it would be nice.
- I think the work of the organization team is successful and the arrangements are being done by considering the participants.
- Adequately pleasant. I suggest the idea of being next workshop (7-8 April 2016), in İzmir province. The location for accommodation was proper but the food and the compliments were not good at all. Other than these, thanks for everything.
- Nazlı AKKAŞ has considered everything. Thank you very much. The hotel was weak in terms of facilities. I am thinking it would be better for all participants that the next meeting or workshop would be in İzmir.
- I want the other organization to be in İzmir.
- The hotel is pleasant itself; however the service and the food were bad. It could be a better location. I want the next training to be in İzmir.
- I thank to organization team.



Training level and content:

- It was satisfying. The service given in the hotel and the conditions of the meeting hall was bad for a training like this
 - Successful.
 - Perfect. It would be good if the next training will be in İzmir.
 - The training proceeded mostly based on thermal plants. There were not much data and example about other LCPs.
 - There was no comparison in the legislations about Turkey and EU. I mean the information given about the existing situation and transition was not sufficient.
 - I am thinking the training level and content are in the level of participants.
 - As content and level, it is understandable and logical. Thank you.
 - The training content was pleasant.
 - It was efficient as content and level.
-

